PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCTTo: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2004 PCT/CH2004/000059 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61B17/00, A61B17/12 **Applicant CARAG AG** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer**

Barton, S

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2717



10/588325

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CH2004/000059

| | Box No. I Basis of the opinion |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| | This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| | a. type of material: |
| | □ a sequence listing |
| | ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| | b. format of material: |
| | ☐ in written format |
| | ☐ in computer readable form |
| | c. time of filing/furnishing: |
| | ☐ contained in the international application as filed. |
| ٠ | ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| | ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| , | in initial subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 3. | In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 1. | Additional comments: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | · |
| | |

| Box No. II Priority | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. The following document | as not been furnished: | | |
| opy of the earlie | application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). | | |
| translation of the | earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). | | |
| Consequently it has not inevertheless been estab | een possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has shed on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. | | |
| has been found invalid (f | ablished as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim ules 43 bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international is considered to be the relevant date. | | |
| 3. Additional observations, if ne | Additional observations, if necessary: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned stat industrial applicability; cita | ment under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ons and explanations supporting such statement | | |
| 1. Statement | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Yes: Claims 1-14 No: Claims | | |
| Inventive step (IS) | Yes: Claims 1-14 No: Claims | | |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Yes: Claims 1-14 No: Claims | | |
| 2. Citations and explanations | | | |

see separate sheet

10/588325 AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 03 AUG 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/CH2004/000059

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO-A-0238051

D2: EP-A-474887

D3: WO-A-0149185

- Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses an implant for occluding a passage in a circulatory system. From this prior art device, the subject matter of independent claim 1 differs in the provision of a second occluding body, at a distance from the first reducible as stated. The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.1 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to improve sealing properties.
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is not taught in the relevant prior art, as a result of which its inclusion for the present purpose is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.3 A double sealing/anchoring body is indeed taught in D2, but the structure of this is so different from the present structure as not to render obvious the addition of a second occluding body in the present type of structure for the stated purpose. See also p.2/para. 3;p.4/para.2 of the present disclosure.
- 2.4 Claims 2-14 are claims dependent on claim 1, as a result of which these claims also meet the requirements of PCT for novelty and inventive step.
- D3 could be argued to have all the features of the preamble to claim 1 plus the second occluding body. However, the occluding bodies and holders are integrated rather than [separate and attached], which would lead to doubt as to which features belong in which part of the claim.
- It would appear that the last five lines of claim 1 would have been simpler and clearer (Art 6 PCT) with the removal of the alternative formulation, as the first and second ends can be defined arbitrarily.